

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231

Washington D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov		
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	l

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 6790 18072-000600US Steven C. Quay 06/02/2000 09/587,116 01/29/2002 7590 20350 **EXAMINER** TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP SMALL, ANDREA D SOUZA TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/587 116	QUAY, STEVEN C.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andrea D Small	1626		
The MAILING DATE of this communication				
Period for Reply	, <b></b>	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory processed in the second se	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may son. a reply within the statutory minimum of the seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MS statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 <u>12/3/</u> 0.1			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-27</u> is/are pending ir	n the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-27</u> are subject to re	striction and/or election requi	rement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in	Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/587,116

Art Unit: 1626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Acknowledgement of Correspondence

Applicant's response to restriction requirement issued in paper no. 5, dated 10/1/2001 is acknowledged as paper no. 6, dated 12/3/2001. The Applicants have elected group I, claims 1-12 and 15-27 for examination with traverse. Applicants have also cancelled claims 28-108, leaving claims 1-27 pending in the instant application.

Applicants Traversal

Applicants traverse the restriction requirement by arguing that Group I (claims 1-12 and 15-27) and Groups II (claims 13 and 14), should be examined together as they are related as compounds and pharmaceutical formulations and thus are derived from a common inventive concept. Additionally, the applicants assert that the search for both of the claims compounds and pharmaceutical formulations of those compounds would not place an undue burden on the office if unrestricted.

Response to Arguments

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The restriction is proper for the reasons given in the previous office action. See paper no. 5, paragraphs 2-4. Additionally, if unrestricted, a search of the above groups together would be burdensome because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art, the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Election/Restriction

Claims 1-12 and 15-27 are pending in the instant application. Claims 13 and 14 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

A further restriction and election is required in this case as follows:

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-12 and 15-27, drawn to products, where the X group is Oxygen
  - II. Claims 1-12 and 15-27, drawn to products, where the X group is Sulfur.
  - III. Claims 1-12 and 15-27, drawn to products, where the X group is Nitrogen.
- 2. The above groups are identified as general areas. Accordingly, as groups, they are independent or distinct as the compounds of the above groups are distinct one from the other in that they differ materially in structure and element such that a reference that would anticipate but one of the above groups would not even render the other obvious. Further, separate search considerations are involved for each group and would impose a burden if unrestricted.

Application/Control Number: 09/587,116

Art Unit: 1626

The above groups themselves are inclusive of patentably distinct subject matter.

3. The above groups themselves are inclusive of patentably distinct subject matter. Accordingly, along with the election of one of the above groups, the following action is also taken.

4. Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a different reactive functional group, these reactive functional groups are too diverse and encompass numerous chemical moieties, see for examples, page 16-17 of specification. Accordingly, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Upon the election of a single disclosed species (e.g. Example, page number and structural depiction), a generic concept, inclusive of the elected species, will be identified by the Examiner for examination. Moreover, whatever specific compound is ultimately elected, applicants are required to list all claims readable thereon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea D. Small, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0811. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7921. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Joseph.McKane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Application/Control Number: 09/587,116

Art Unit: 1626

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234

Andrea D. Small January 28, 2002 Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626

Technology Center 1